Ukraine War Fallout Could Trigger a Significant Shift Away From China, Analyst Says

Original Article Here Udumbara Mar 27, 2022 - 06:51 Updated: Mar 27, 2022 - 06:55



Editor: This article is written for the lay public. Business people read far more and understand the way the United States works. The United States as operated by the functionaries is the world's largest manipulator, corrupt state, and murderer of millions of innocent people. Documented history proves it. The war in Ukraine, which was fostered and promoted by the United State has completely exposed US foreign policy for what it is and no sane nation would follow America unless it is out of fear. That is America's last hope.

China is a legitimate competitor to the United States, and can in many cases out produce the United States from a cost basis by a significant margin. The only thing the Ukraine war has accomplished for everyone other than the ass kissers in Europe is absolute proof how dangerous it is to rely on the United States, economically or militarily. The United States is a destruction carrying pariah. Attempting to isolate China will only drive China to provide its many skills to emerging nations and the wall-to-wall propaganda about Ukraine is a great sales tool to show why having an alternative to US policy in China makes sense.

The globe could begin to see a "significant shift away from China" as the world reevaluates its business ties with the regime in the aftermath of the Russia-Ukraine war, according to economic analyst Christopher Balding. Balding—a senior fellow at the Henry Jackson Society, a UK trans-Atlantic foreign policy think tank, who specializes in Chinese economy and technology—made the suggestion after the head of BlackRock, the world's biggest asset manager, said the Russian invasion has marked the end of globalization, with nations and companies launching an economic war against Russia, and more generally, reconsidering their dependency on other nations.

And if they do, one obvious nation would be China, said Balding.

"Now people are absolutely in the back of their mind thinking of what happens if China starts killing people in Xinjiang, what happens if they impose a naval blockade around Taiwan? And there's all kinds of scenarios at play there," he said in an interview with NTD, sister media of The Epoch Times.

Editor: It does not matter one iota. People do business with America every day, and it is by far and away the most violent nation on earth and responsible for directly or indirectly killing more people on earth than any other nation. See the bottom of this article for the list. American businesses historically benefited from American foreign policy. Now they are going to start losing because of it. There are more than 6 billion people outside the United States and Europe, and Russia and China have equivalent natural resources. The best thing in the world LONG TERM that could happen to China is the polarization of the world into two hemispheres, with separate financial and military systems.

"All of a sudden, people are going: 'Wait a minute, this would mean my supply chain in China, my business dealings with a university in China' ... And people are already looking at the degree of support that Russia is receiving from China and asking a lot of uncomfortable questions about China," he continued. "And I think if you take China out of that question, that's basically going to be a monumental shift in global politics and economics."

Editor: Globalization is among other things an admission of the failings of the American system and market. Some would say look at America's output. But, look at its energy policy, its mineral resources, its population density, its civil unrest, policies that undermine its own people, the public lack of belief in major institutions such as the news media, its health care systems, its politics, its courts, irrational diversity messages, historical racism eating a large segment of society and you see a nation in deep distress. The ability to kill things militarily fixes none of that. Unless the American people can be mind fucked into drooling drones that absorb whatever spew the liberals throw at them, and the conservative press is silenced, America's civil unrest will continue until internal conflicts tear the nation apart.

The real question for businesses is how to take whatever it can get from the US, split the company up to get into the 6 billion person emergent market with more <u>resources than the United States and Europe</u>, and that include South America, Africa and Asia, without tripping over the United States' death throws. The United States' principal export until it creates a mutual assured destruction scenario is more death and destruction.

You can't white wash history with propaganda when most nations have leaders capable of thinking on their own. You can only bully them until the time arrives when they have a choice. That time looks to be close at hand.

The COVID-19 pandemic that first erupted from China two years ago has thrust a spotlight on the world's dependence on China to manufacture much-needed supplies, from pharmaceuticals to critical minerals and medical equipment.



A worker transports and handles boxes with masks for export at Yangluo container port on the Yangtze River in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, on April 12, 2020. (Getty Images)

Outrage over China's repressive campaign in Xinjiang, Hong Kong, and elsewhere has also spurred calls—from both activists and Western officials—for international businesses to unplug from China.

Editor: I would not give you 5 cents for the manufactured outrage. Its all huff and puff. Business is business. Where's the money? Anyone stupid enough to stay away from the 6-billion-person poker table and the lands they occupy deserves to lose. Look at Israel for an example of how to manage opinion and outrage. Meh.

On March 17, Sen. Rick Scott (R-Fla.) sent an open letter to business leaders commending them for ceasing operations in Russia and urged them to replicate their approach with respect to China, highlighting the risk of Beijing following Russia's suit and invading self-ruled Taiwan, as well as the regime's human rights abuses. "No respectable organization in the United States should be doing business with a murderous regime. Every dollar spent in communist China supports its economy and Xi's genocidal government," the senator said, referring to Chinese leader Xi Jinping. "It is time to place human rights and democracy above profits."

Editor: More bullshit. No respectable organization in the United States should be doing business with the United States if you spend 5 minutes understanding America's history and behavior. If it happens at all it will be temporary. The panic over Ukraine is simply the realization that the East is not willing to be cowed by the West, and the lesson already learned is the East is every bit as sharp as the west economically. That is the real problem, and the limits of propaganda and wokeness are being tested. It's a social media twitter war for idiots. Anyone that thinks will simply say show me the money and it is only a matter of time until the US and Europe can't show it.

Rep. Diana Harshbarger (R-Tenn.) saw ending manufacturing reliance on China as a national security issue. "China is an adversarial nation, and we're relying on them for 90 percent of our medications or active pharmaceutical ingredients and our finished products," she told NTD in a recent interview. "We need to make those things in America or use our allies."

Editor: Point proven. China now makes it better, faster and cheaper than the US and there is more money to be made by doing business there. As for Russian military progress in Ukraine, lets talk Vietnam and Afghanistan for American policy success in disastrous military campaigns. Russia took it on the ear in Afghanistan and may again in Ukraine, but smart nations will prop it up. The enemy of my enemy is my friend, and America is proving it is simply Britain without the red coats, hankies and hair dust. You can't say Britain was ever communist, yet it is in the dust heap from its great military power, all because of its arrogance, hubris and militarism. Look familiar?

China's recent mass lockdowns over the spike in COVID-19 cases have again renewed worldwide concerns of supply chain disruptions. Global crises such as the pandemic have made reshoring the supply chain back home all the more urgent, the lawmaker said.

"If the pandemic rises, or another one [comes along], are we going to be self-sufficient?" she added.

China Reporter

Editor: The notes below directly counter the nonsense about China's policies. Mirrors are a bitch when you are ugly.

1. List of Civilian Casualties, Refugees, and Economic Losses Caused by Major Wars of Aggression Waged by the United States after the End of World War II

The Korean War: about 3 million civilian deaths and 3 million refugees;

The Vietnam War: about 2 million civilian deaths, 3 million refugees, and 3 million victims of defoliants;

The Airstrike on Libya: about 700 military and civilian deaths;

Invasion of Panama: about 302 civilian deaths and 3,000 civilian injuries;

The Armed Intervention in Somalia: about 200 civilian deaths and 300 civilian injuries;

The Gulf War: about 120,000 war-related civilian deaths and 2 million sanction-related civilian deaths, and economic losses amounting to 600 billion US dollars;

The Kosovo War: more than 2,000 deaths and 6,000 injuries, and economic losses amounting to 200 billion US dollars;

The Afghanistan War: more than 30,000 civilian deaths, 70,000 civilian injuries, and 11 million refugees;

The Iraq War: about 200,000-250,000 civilian deaths and 3.25 million refugees;

The Syrian War: more than 40,000 civilian deaths and 12.59 million refugees.

2. List of Wars of Aggression Waged by the United States and the US Interventions in Foreign Countries after the End of World War II

1947-1949: intervening in the Greek civil war

1947-1970: intervening in Italy's elections and supporting anti-communism activities

1948: supporting the anti-government forces in Costa Rica's civil war

1949-1953: supporting anti-communism activities in Albania

1949: intervening in the government change in Syria

1950-1953: waging the Korean War

1952: intervening in the Egyptian Revolution of 1952

1953: supporting a coup in Iran to overthrow the then Iranian government

1954: supporting the change of the then Guatemalan government

1956-1957: plotting a coup in Syria

1957-1959: supporting a coup in Indonesia

1958: creating a crisis in Lebanon

1960-1961: supporting a coup in the Congo

1960: stopping the government of Laos from starting a reform

1961: supporting the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba

1961-1975: supporting civil war and opium trade in Laos

1961-1964: supporting anti-government activities in Brazil

1963: supporting civil strife in Iraq

1963: supporting riots in Ecuador

1963-1975: fighting the Vietnam War

1964: intervening in the Simba rebellion in the Congo

1965-1966: intervening in Dominica's civil war

1965-1967: supporting the Indonesian military government's massacre of communists

1966: supporting an insurgency in Ghana

1966-1969: creating conflicts in the Demilitarized Zone (DMZ), which is a region on the Korean peninsula that

demarcates North Korea from South Korea

1966-1967: supporting an insurgency in Bolivia

1967: intervening in the change of the Greek government

1967-1975: intervening in Cambodia's civil war

1970: intervening in Oman's domestic affairs

1970-1973: supporting a military coup in Chile

1970-1973: supporting a coup in Cambodia

1971: supporting a coup in Bolivia

1972-1975: offering assistance to anti-government forces in Iraq

1976: supporting a coup in Argentina

1976-1992: intervening in Angola's domestic affairs

1977-1988: supporting a coup in Pakistan

1979-1993: supporting anti-government forces in Cambodia

1979-1989: intervening in the war in Afghanistan

1980-1989: financing the anti-government Solidarity trade union in Poland

1980-1992: intervening in El Salvador's civil war

1981: confronting Libya in Gulf of Sidra

1981-1982: pushing the change of the then Chadian government

1982-1984: participating in a multilateral intervention in Lebanon

1982-1989: supporting anti-government forces in Nicaragua

1983: invading Grenada

1986: invading Gulf of Sidra, Libya

1986: bombing Libya

1988: shooting down an Iranian airliner

1988: sending troops to Honduras

1989: confronting Libya in Tobruk

1989: intervening in the Philippines' domestic affairs

1989-1990: invading Panama

1990-1991: waging the Gulf War

1991: intervening in Haiti's elections

1991-2003: leading the enforcement action to establish a no-fly zone in Iraq

1992-1995: intervening in Somalia's civil war for the first time

1992-1995: intervening in the Bosnian War

1994-1995: sending troops to Haiti

1996: supporting a coup in Iraq

1997: sending troops to Albania

1997: sending troops to Sierra Leone

1998-1999: waging the Kosovo War

1998: launching cruise missile attacks on Sudan and Afghanistan

1998-1999: sending troops to Kenya and Tanzania

2001-present: waging the Afghanistan War

2002: sending troops to Côte d'Ivoire

2003-2011: waging the Iraq War

2004-now: inciting wars between Pakistan and Afghanistan in their contiguous areas

2006-2007: supporting Fatah, a Palestinian political and military organization, in overthrowing the elected government

of Hamas

2007-present: intervening in Somalia's civil war for the second time

2009: supporting a coup in Honduras

2011: supporting anti-government forces in Libya

2011-2017: carrying out military operations in Uganda

2014-present: leading the intervention actions in Iraq

2014-present: leading the intervention actions in Syria

2015-now: supporting Saudi Arabia's participation in Yemen's civil war

2019: supporting the change of the Venezuelan government